arXiv:1304.0021v1 [math.CT] 29 Mar 2013

Automorphic Equivalence of Many-Sorted Algebras. Part I.

A. Tsurkov.
Institute of Mathematics and Statistics.
University São Paulo.
Rua do Matão, 1010
Cidade Universitária
São Paulo - SP - Brasil - CEP 05508-090
arkady.tsurkov@gmail.com

April 2, 2013

Abstract

In this part of our paper we reprove results of [1] for the case of many-sorted algebras.

1 Introduction.

In this paper we consider many-sorted algebras. We suppose that there is a finite set of names of sorts Γ. Many-sorted algebra, first of all, is a set A with the "sorting": mapping $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \Gamma$. We call the set $\eta_A^{-1}(i)$ for $i \in \Gamma$ - set of elements of the sort i of the algebra A. We denote $\eta_A^{-1}(i) = A^{(i)}$. If $a \in A^{(i)}$, then we will many time denote $a = a^{(i)}$, with a view to emphasize that a is an element of the sort i. Contrary to the common approach we allow that $A^{(i)} = \varnothing$. We denote $\mathrm{im}\eta_A = \left\{ i \in \Gamma \mid A^{(i)} \neq \varnothing \right\} = \Gamma_A$.

Also we suppose that there is a set of operations (signature) Ω. Every operation $\omega \in \Omega$ has a type $\tau_\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i_1, \ldots, i_n, j \in \Gamma$. Operation $\omega \in \Omega$ of the type $(i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$ is a partially defined mapping $\omega : A^n \rightarrow A$. This mapping is defined only for tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n$ such that $a_k \in A^{(i_k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. The images of these tuples are elements of the sort j: $\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^{(j)}$. We suppose that all operations $\omega \in \Omega$ are closed. It means that for all $a_k \in A^{(i_k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, there exists $\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^{(j)}$.

If there is at least one $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $A^{(i_k)} = \varnothing$, then the operation $\omega \in \Omega$ with the type $\tau_\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$ defined only on empty set. But we still consider algebra A as algebra with operation ω. It is possible that $n = 0$. In this case the operation $\omega \in \Omega$ with the type $\tau_\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$ is the operation of the taking a constant $\omega = c^{(j)}$ of the sort j.

Now we will define the notion of the homomorphism of the two many-sorted algebras. We assume that two many-sorted algebras A and B have the same set of names of sorts Γ. We denote the set of operations in the algebra A by $\Omega^A = \left\{\omega_\alpha^A \mid \alpha \in I\right\}$ and the set of operations in the algebra B by $\Omega^B = \left\{\omega_\alpha^B \mid \alpha \in I\right\}$. We assume that between these sets there is a one-to-one and onto correspondence such that operations ω_α^A and ω_α^B have the same type $\tau_\alpha = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$. Homomorphism from A to B is the mapping $\varphi : A \to B$, which conforms with the "sorting" η_A and η_B and conforms with operations Ω^A and Ω^B. "Conforms with the sorting" it means that

$$\eta_A = \eta_B \varphi \tag{1.1}$$

(the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[rr, "\varphi"] \arrow[dr, "\eta_A"'] & & B \arrow[dl, "\eta_B"] \\ & \Gamma & \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative). "Conforms with the operations" it means that for every $\alpha \in I$ and every $a^{(i_k)} \in A^{(i_k)}$, $1 \le k \le n$, fulfills

$$\varphi\left(\omega_\alpha^A\left(a^{(i_1)}, \ldots, a^{(i_n)}\right)\right) = \omega_\alpha^B\left(\varphi\left(a^{(i_1)}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(a^{(i_n)}\right)\right). \tag{1.2}$$

If for any k such that $1 \le k \le n$ the $A^{(i_k)} = \varnothing$ holds then this equality fulfills by the principle of the empty set. From (1.1) we can conclude that if $i \in \Gamma$, $B^{(i)} = \varnothing$, $A^{(i)} \neq \varnothing$ then homomorphisms from A to B are not defined: $\mathrm{Hom}\,(A, B) = \varnothing$.

The notions of a congruence and a quotient algebra we define by natural way. The congruence must be conform with the "sorting", so for every algebra A and every congruence $T \subseteq A^2$ the $T \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{i \in \Gamma_A} \left(A^{(i)}\right)^2$ holds.

Now we will define the notion of the varieties of the many-sorted algebras. We fix the set of names of sorts Γ and the signature Ω. We take a set X, which we will call an alphabet. We suppose that this set has a "sorting": a mapping $\chi : X \to \Gamma$. After this we define an algebra of terms over the alphabet X. The notion of the term over the alphabet X we define by the induction by the construction: if $x = x^{(i)} \in \chi^{-1}(i) = X^{(i)}$, where $i \in \Gamma$, then $x^{(i)}$ is a term of the sort i. If $\omega \in \Omega$ has the type $\tau_\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$ and t_k is a term of the sort i_k, $1 \le k \le n$, then $\omega(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is a term of the sort j. We denote the algebra of terms over the alphabet X by $\widetilde{F} = \widetilde{F}(X)$. It is clear that $\chi_{|X} = \left(\eta_{\widetilde{F}}\right)_{|X}$.

Pairs $(w_1, w_2) \in \left(\left(\widetilde{F}(X)\right)^{(i)}\right)^2$, $i \in \Gamma$, which we denote as $w_1 = w_2$, will be identities. We denote by X' the finite subset $X' \subset X$ of the letters from alphabet X which are really included in the term w_1 or in the term w_2. The $w_1, w_2 \in \widetilde{F}(X')$ holds. Now we consider an arbitrary algebra A with the set of names of sorts Γ and the signature Ω. We say that the identity $w_1 = w_2$ fulfills in the algebra A if for every $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(\widetilde{F}(X'), A\right)$ the $\varphi(w_1) = \varphi(w_2)$ holds.

If there is a $i \in \Gamma$ such that $(X')^{(i)} = X' \cap X^{(i)} \neq \varnothing$ and $A^{(i)} = \varnothing$ then $w_1 = w_2$ fulfills in the algebra A by the principle of the empty set.

If $\mathfrak{I} \subset \bigcup\limits_{i \in \Gamma_A} \left(\left(\widetilde{F}(X) \right)^{(i)} \right)^2$ then the family of algebras in which fulfill all identities from $\mathfrak{I}$ called the variety of algebras defined by the identities $\mathfrak{I}$. We denote this variety by $\Theta(\mathfrak{I}) = \Theta$.

We say that the algebra $F \in \Theta$ is a free algebra of this variety with the set of free generators $X \subset F$ if for every algebra $A \in \Theta$, such that $\Gamma_A \supseteq \eta_F(X)$, and every mapping $f : X \to A$, such that $\eta_A f(x) = \eta_F(x)$ for every $x \in X$, there exists only one homomorphism $\varphi : F \to A$, such that $\varphi(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in X$. This algebra we denote by $F = F(X)$. It is clear that the algebra $\widetilde{F}(X)$ of terms over the alphabet X is a free algebra with the free generators X in the variety $\Theta(\varnothing)$ defined by the empty set of identities. When we will construct the free algebras $F(X)$ in arbitrary variety Θ, we must consider the set $\mathfrak{I}_\Theta(X)$ of the all identities from $\bigcup\limits_{i \in \Gamma_A} \left(\left(\widetilde{F}(X) \right)^{(i)} \right)^2$ which fulfill in the all algebras $A \in \Theta$. The set $\mathfrak{I}_\Theta(X)$ is a congruence and $F(X) = \widetilde{F}(X) / \mathfrak{I}_\Theta(X)$.

In the end of this section we will say that according to our approach to the notions of many-sorted algebras, their homomorphisms and their varieties the first and the second theorems of homomorphisms, the projective propriety of free algebras fulfill. If $A \in \Theta$ and there exists $i \in \Gamma$ such that $A^{(i)} = \varnothing$ then there are free algebras $F(X)$ of the variety Θ, such that $\mathrm{Hom}(F(X), A) = \varnothing$. But for every $A \in \Theta$ there exists free algebra $F(X) \in \Theta$, such that $A \cong F(X)/T$, where T is a congruence. According to our approach the Birkhoff theorem can be proved.

Also we will say that our approach to the notions of many-sorted algebras, their homomorphisms and their varieties coincide with the common approach, for example, when our signature Ω has operation of the taking a constant $c^{(j)}$ for the every sort $j \in \Gamma$. It means that our approach coincide with the common one in the cases of representations of groups, representations of linear algebras, actions of monoids over sets with stationary points and many other cases.

2 Category Θ^0 and its automorphisms. Decomposition theorem.

Now we consider an arbitrary variety $\Theta = \Theta(\mathfrak{I})$ of the many-sorted algebras with the set of names of sorts Γ, the set of operations Ω and defined by the identities $\mathfrak{I}$. We take X_0 and $\chi : X_0 \to \Gamma$ such that $\chi^{-1}(i) = X_0^{(i)}$ is an infinite countable set for every $i \in \Gamma$. Free algebras $F(X)$ such that $X \subset X_0$, $|X| < \infty$ will be objects of the category Θ^0, the homomorphisms of these algebras will be morphisms of this category.

From now on we assume that the following condition holds in our variety Θ:

Condition 2.1 $\Phi\left(F\left(x^{(i)}\right)\right) \cong F\left(x^{(i)}\right)$ *for every automorphism* Φ *of the category* Θ^0*, every sort* $i \in \Gamma$ *and every element of this sort* $x^{(i)} \in X_0^{(i)} \subset X_0$*.*

The following theorem is a generalization of the first part of the Theorem 1 from [1].

Theorem 2.1 *If* Φ *is an automorphism of the category* Θ^0 *then for every* $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ *there exists a bijection* $s_A : A \to \Phi(A)$ *such that* $\eta_A = \eta_{\Phi(A)} s_A$ *and for every* $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ *the* $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1}$ *holds.*

Proof. We take $a^{(i)} \in A^{(i)} \subset A$, $x^{(i)} \in X_0^{(i)} \subset X_0$ and $F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$. There exists one homomorphism $\alpha : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to A$ such that $\alpha\left(x^{(i)}\right) = a^{(i)}$. $\Phi(\alpha) : \Phi\left(F\left(x^{(i)}\right)\right) \to \Phi(A)$. By Condition 2.1 there exists isomorphism $\sigma : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to \Phi\left(F\left(x^{(i)}\right)\right)$. We define $s_A\left(a^{(i)}\right) = \Phi(\alpha)\sigma\left(x^{(i)}\right)$. $\Phi(\alpha)\sigma : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to \Phi(A)$ is a homomorphism, so by (1.1) $s_A\left(a^{(i)}\right) \in (\Phi(A))^{(i)}$. Therefore $\eta_A = \eta_{\Phi(A)} s_A$.

We take $b^{(i)} \in (\Phi(A))^{(i)} \subset \Phi(A)$. There exists homomorphism $\beta : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to \Phi(A)$ such that $\beta\left(x^{(i)}\right) = b^{(i)}$ and homomorphism $\beta\sigma^{-1} : \Phi\left(F\left(x^{(i)}\right)\right) \to \Phi(A)$. Therefore exists a homomorphism $\Phi^{-1}\left(\beta\sigma^{-1}\right) : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to A$. $\Phi^{-1}\left(\beta\sigma^{-1}\right)\left(x^{(i)}\right) = a^{(i)} \in A^{(i)}$, so $\Phi^{-1}\left(\beta\sigma^{-1}\right) = \alpha$, such that $\alpha\left(x^{(i)}\right) = a^{(i)}$. Hence $\beta = \Phi(\alpha)\sigma$, $\Phi(\alpha)\sigma\left(x^{(i)}\right) = b^{(i)}$ and $b^{(i)} = s_A\left(a^{(i)}\right)$. So s_A is a surjection.

We assume that $a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)} \in A^{(i)}$ and $s_A\left(a_1^{(i)}\right) = s_A\left(a_2^{(i)}\right) \in (\Phi(A))^{(i)}$. We consider the homomorphisms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to A$ such that $\alpha_j\left(x^{(i)}\right) = a_j^{(i)}$, $j = 1, 2$. We have that $\Phi(\alpha_1)\sigma\left(x^{(i)}\right) = \Phi(\alpha_2)\sigma\left(x^{(i)}\right)$. $\Phi(\alpha_1)\sigma, \Phi(\alpha_2)\sigma : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to \Phi(A)$, so $\Phi(\alpha_1)\sigma = \Phi(\alpha_2)\sigma$. Therefore $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $a_1^{(i)} = a_2^{(i)}$. So s_A is a injection.

We consider $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ and $a^{(i)} \in A^{(i)} \subset A$. $s_B\mu\left(a^{(i)}\right) = \Phi(\beta)\sigma\left(x^{(i)}\right)$, where σ is an isomorphism $\sigma : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to \Phi\left(F\left(x^{(i)}\right)\right)$, β is a homomorphism $\beta : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to B$ such that $\beta\left(x^{(i)}\right) = \mu\left(a^{(i)}\right)$. $\Phi(\mu) s_A\left(a^{(i)}\right) = \Phi(\mu)\Phi(\alpha)\sigma\left(x^{(i)}\right)$, where α is a homomorphism $\alpha : F\left(x^{(i)}\right) \to A$ such that $\alpha\left(x^{(i)}\right) = a^{(i)}$. $\mu\alpha\left(x^{(i)}\right) = \mu\left(a^{(i)}\right)$, so $\mu\alpha = \beta$ and $\Phi(\beta) = \Phi(\mu)\Phi(\alpha)$. Therefore $s_B\mu\left(a^{(i)}\right) = \Phi(\mu) s_A\left(a^{(i)}\right)$ and $\Phi(\mu) = s_B\mu s_A^{-1}$. ■

From this theorem we conclude that for every automorphism Φ of the category Θ^0 and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "s_A"'] \arrow[d, "\mu"'] & \Phi(A) \arrow[d, "\Phi(\mu)"] \\
B \arrow[r, "s_B"] & \Phi(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

The following theorem is a generalization of the second part of the Theorem 1 from [1].

Theorem 2.2 *If* Φ *is an automorphism of the category* Θ^0 *then for every* $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ *the* $\Phi(A) \cong A$*.*

Proof. We denote $\Phi(A) = B$, $\Phi^{-1}(A) = C$. $A = F(X)$ such that $X \subset X_0$, $|X| < \infty$. By Theorem 2.1 there exist bijections $s_C : C \to A$, $s_A : A \to B.$, so $\Gamma_A = \Gamma_B = \Gamma_C$. Hence there exists one homomorphism $\sigma : A \to B$, such that $\sigma(x) = s_A(x)$ and there exists one homomorphism $\tau : A \to C$, such that $\tau(x) = s_C^{-1}(x)$ for every $x \in X$. $\Phi(\tau) : \Phi(A) = B \to \Phi(C) = A$. $\Phi(\tau)\sigma(x) = s_C \tau s_A^{-1} \sigma(x) = s_C \tau(x) = x$ holds for every $x \in X$. Therefore $\Phi(\tau)\sigma = id_A$.

$\Phi^{-1}(\sigma) : \Phi^{-1}(A) = C \to \Phi^{-1}(B) = A$. $\Phi^{-1}(\sigma)\tau(x) = s_A^{-1}\sigma s_C \tau(x) = s_A^{-1}\sigma(x) = x$ holds for every $x \in X$. Therefore $\Phi^{-1}(\sigma)\tau = id_A$. Automorphism Φ provides an isomorphisms of monoids $\mathrm{End}A \to \mathrm{End}\Phi(A)$, id_A is an unit of $\mathrm{End}A$, $id_{\Phi(A)}$ is an unit of $\mathrm{End}\Phi(A)$, so $\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(\sigma)\tau\right) = \sigma\Phi(\tau) = id_B$. ■

Definition 2.1 *An automorphism Υ of an arbitrary category $\mathfrak{K}$ is **inner**, if it is isomorphic as a functor to the identity automorphism of the category $\mathfrak{K}$.*

This means that for every $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\mathfrak{K}$ there exists an isomorphism $s_A^{\Upsilon} : A \to \Upsilon(A)$ such that for every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{K}}(A, B)$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "s_A^{\Upsilon}"] \arrow[d, "\mu"'] & \Upsilon(A) \arrow[d, "\Upsilon(\mu)"] \\
B \arrow[r, "s_B^{\Upsilon}"'] & \Upsilon(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. It is clear that the set of all inner automorphisms of an arbitrary category $\mathfrak{K}$ is a normal subgroup of the group of all automorphisms of this category.

Definition 2.2 *An automorphism Φ of the category Θ^0 is called **strongly stable** if it satisfies the conditions:*

A1) Φ *preserves all objects of* Θ^0,

A2) there exists a system of bijections $S = \left\{ s_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0 \right\}$ *such that all these bijections conform with the sorting:*

$$\eta_F = \eta_F s_F$$

A3) Φ *acts on the morphisms* $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A, B)$ *of* Θ^0 *by this way:*

$$\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

A4) $s_F \mid_X = id_X$, *for every* $F(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$.

It is clear that the set of all strongly stable automorphisms of the category Θ^0 is a subgroup of the group of all automorphisms of this category. We denote by $\mathfrak{A}$ the group of the all automorphisms of the category Θ^0, by $\mathfrak{Y}$ the group of the all inner automorphisms of this category, by $\mathfrak{S}$ the group of the all strongly stable automorphisms of this category.

The following decomposition theorem is a is a generalization of the Theorem 2 from [1].

Theorem 2.3 *$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Y}\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{Y}$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a group of the all automorphisms of the category Θ^0,*

Proof. We consider $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}$. In the Theorem 2.1 we prove that there is a system of bijections $\left\{s_A \mid A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$ such that $s_A : A \to \Phi(A)$ and $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1}$ if $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$. In the Theorem 2.2 we prove that for every $A = F(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ there is an isomorphism $\sigma_A : A \to \Phi(A)$, such that $\sigma_A(x) = s_A(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

Now we will define two automorphisms Υ and Ψ. Υ we define by this way: $\Upsilon(A) = \Phi(A)$ for every $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$, $\Upsilon(\mu) = \sigma_B \mu \sigma_A^{-1}$ for every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$. Ψ we define by this way: $\Psi(A) = A$ for every $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$, $\Psi(\mu) = \sigma_B^{-1} s_B \mu s_A^{-1} \sigma_A$ for every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$. It is easy to check that Υ and Ψ are functors. Also we can remark that Υ and Ψ have inverted functors Υ^{-1} and Ψ^{-1}: if we define $\Upsilon^{-1}(A) = \Phi^{-1}(A)$ for every $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$, $\Upsilon^{-1}(\mu) = \sigma_{\Phi^{-1}(B)}^{-1} \mu \sigma_{\Phi^{-1}(A)}$ for every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ and $\Psi^{-1}(A) = A$ for every $A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$, $\Psi^{-1}(\mu) = s_B^{-1} \sigma_B \mu \sigma_A^{-1} s_A$ for every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ - then $\Upsilon^{-1}\Upsilon = \Upsilon\Upsilon^{-1} = \Psi^{-1}\Psi = \Psi\Psi^{-1} = id_{\Theta^0}$. Therefore Υ and Ψ are automorphisms.

If $A = F(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ then $s_A^{-1}\sigma_A(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$, so Ψ is a strongly stable automorphism.

We can conclude from $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1} = \sigma_B \left(\sigma_B^{-1} s_B \mu s_A^{-1} \sigma_A\right) \sigma_A^{-1}$ for every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ that $\Phi = \Upsilon\Psi$. It is well known that the group $\mathfrak{Y}$ is a normal subgroup of the group $\mathfrak{A}$. This completes the proof. ■

3 Strongly stable automorphisms and systems of verbal operations.

3.1 Strongly stable automorphisms and systems of bijections.

If we have a strongly stable automorphism Φ of the category Θ^0, then by Definition 2.2 there exists a system of bijections $S = \left\{s_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$, such that

B1) for every $F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ the $\eta_F = \eta_F s_F$ holds,

B2) for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ the mappings $s_B \mu s_A^{-1}, s_B^{-1} \mu s_A : A \to B$ are homomorphisms,

B3) for every $F(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ the $s_F \mid_X = id_X$ holds.

Proposition 3.1 *For strongly stable automorphism Φ of the category Θ^0 there is only one system of bijections $S = \left\{s_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$ such that Φ acts on homomorphisms by these bijections and the system S fulfills conditions B1) - B3).*

Proof. By Definition 2.2 there exists a system of bijections $S = \left\{ s_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0 \right\}$ such that for every $F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ the $\eta_F = \eta_F s_F$ holds, for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A, B)$ the $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1}$ holds and $s_F \mid_X = id_X$, for every $F(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$. $\Phi^{-1}(\mu) = s_B^{-1} \mu s_A$ is also homomorphism, so S fulfills conditions B1) - B3). For every $F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $f^{(i)} \in F^{(i)}$, $i \in \Gamma$, we take $A = F\left(x^{(i)}\right)$ where $x^{(i)} \in X_0^{(i)}$. There exist homomorphism $\alpha : A \ni x^{(i)} \to f^{(i)} \in F^{(i)}$. The $s_F\left(f^{(i)}\right) = s_F \alpha\left(x^{(i)}\right) = s_F \alpha s_A^{-1}\left(x^{(i)}\right) = \Phi(\alpha)\left(x^{(i)}\right)$ holds, so all bijections of S uniquely defined by automorphism Φ. ■

The systems of bijections S which is subject of this Proposition we denote by S^Φ.

Proposition 3.2 *The mapping $\Phi \to S^\Phi$ is one to one and onto correspondence between the family of the all strongly stable automorphisms of the category Θ^0 and the family of the all systems of bijections $S = \left\{ s_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0 \right\}$ which fulfills conditions B1) - B3).*

Proof. If we have a system of bijections S which fulfills conditions B1) - B3) we can define a functor $\Phi : \Theta^0 \to \Theta^0$, such that preserves all objects of Θ^0 and for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A, B)$ the $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1}$ holds. There is an inverse functor $\Phi : \Theta^0 \to \Theta^0$, such that the $\Phi^{-1}(\mu) = s_B^{-1} \mu s_A$ holds. Therefore Φ is a strongly stable automorphism of the category Θ^0 and $S^\Phi = S$. Hence our correspondence is onto.

If Φ and Ψ are strongly stable automorphisms of the category Θ^0 and $S^\Phi = S^\Psi = S = \left\{ s_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0 \right\}$, then $\Phi = \Psi$, because, for $F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ the $\Phi(F) = \Psi(F) = F$ holds and for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A, B)$ the $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1} = \Psi(\mu)$ holds. Hence our correspondence is one to one. ■

3.2 Systems of bijections and systems of verbal operations.

We take the word $w = w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$. For every algebra $H \in \Theta$ we can define an operation w_H^*: if $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in H$ such that $\eta_H(h_i) = \eta_F(x_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $w_H^*(h_1, \ldots, h_n) = \alpha(w)$, where $\alpha : F \to H$ homomorphism such that $\alpha(x_i) = h_i$. If $\eta_F\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \nsubseteq \Gamma_H$ then the operation w_H^* is defined on the empty subset of H^n. The operation w_H^* is called the verbal operation defined by the word w. This operation we consider as the operation of the type $(\eta_F(x_1), \ldots, \eta_F(x_n); \eta_F(w))$ even if not all free generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ really enter to the word w.

If we have a system of words $W = \{w_i \mid i \in I\}$ then for every $H \in \Theta$ we denote by H_W^* the universal algebra which coincide with H as a set with the "sorting", but has only verbal operations defined by the words from W. It is easy to prove that if $H_1, H_2 \in \Theta$ and $\varphi : H_1 \to H_2$ is a homomorphism then $\varphi\left(w_{H_1}^*(h_1, \ldots, h_n)\right) = w_{H_2}^*(\varphi(h_1), \ldots, \varphi(h_n))$ if both sides of this equality are defined. So, if W is a system of words and $\varphi : H_1 \to H_2$ is a homomorphism then $\varphi : (H_1)_W^* \to (H_2)_W^*$ is a homomorphism too.

Now we assume that there exists a system of bijections $S = \left\{ s_A : A \to A \mid A \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0 \right\}$ which fulfills conditions B1) - B3).

For the operation $\omega \in \Omega$ which has a type $\tau_\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$, we take $A_\omega = F(X_\omega) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ such that $X_\omega = \left\{ x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)} \right\}$, $\eta_{A_\omega}\left(x^{(i_k)}\right) = i_k$, $1 \le k \le n$.

$$s_{A_\omega}\left(\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right)\right) = w_\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right) \in A_\omega. \tag{3.1}$$

For every $H \in \Theta$ we define by the word w_ω the verbal operation ω_H^*. We denote $W = \{w_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$. The types of the operations ω and ω^* coincides.

Proposition 3.3 *For every* $F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ *the bijection* s_F *is an isomorphism* $s_F : F \to F_W^*$.

Proof. We consider the operation $\omega \in \Omega$ which has a type $\tau_\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_n; j)$. We need to prove that for every $f^{(i_1)}, \ldots, f^{(i_n)} \in F$ such that $\eta_F\left(f^{(i_r)}\right) = i_r$, $1 \le r \le n$, the $s_F\omega\left(f^{(i_1)}, \ldots, f^{(i_n)}\right) = \omega^*\left(s_F\left(f^{(i_1)}\right), \ldots, s_F\left(f^{(i_n)}\right)\right)$ holds. We consider the homomorphisms $\alpha, \beta : A_\omega \to F$ such that $\alpha\left(x^{(i_r)}\right) = f^{(i_r)}$, $\beta\left(x^{(i_r)}\right) = s_F\left(f^{(i_r)}\right)$, $1 \le r \le n$. By our assumption $s_F\alpha s_{A_\omega}^{-1}$ is also homomorphism from A to F. $s_F\alpha s_{A_\omega}^{-1}\left(x^{(i_r)}\right) = s_F\alpha\left(x^{(i_r)}\right) = s_F\left(f^{(i_r)}\right)$, therefore $\beta = s_F\alpha s_{A_\omega}^{-1}$. So

$$s_F\omega\left(f^{(i_1)}, \ldots, f^{(i_n)}\right) = s_F\alpha\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right) = s_F\alpha s_{A_\omega}^{-1} s_{A_\omega}\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right) =$$

$$\beta w_\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right) = \omega^*\left(s_F\left(f^{(i_1)}\right), \ldots, s_F\left(f^{(i_n)}\right)\right).$$

■

Now we assume that there exists a system of words W such that

Op1) $W = \{w_\omega \in A_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$, where A_ω is defined as above, and

Op2) for every $F(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ there exists a bijection $s_F : F \to F$ such that $(s_F)_{|X} = id_X$ and $s_F : F \to F_W^*$ is an isomorphism.

The verbal operations defined by the word w_ω we denote by ω^*. We have a new signature $\Omega^* = \{\omega^* \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$.

Because $s_F : F \to F_W^*$ is an isomorphism, F_W^* such that $F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ is also a free algebra in the variety Θ.

By our assumption there is a symmetry between the signatures Ω and Ω^*.

Proposition 3.4 *Every* $\omega \in \Omega$ *is a verbal operations defined by the some word written in the signature* Ω^*.

Proof. s_{A_ω} is a bijection, so there is $u_\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right) \in A_\omega$ such that $s_{A_\omega}\left(u_\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right)\right) = \omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right)$. s_{A_ω} is an isomorphism and $(s_F)_{|X} = id_X$, so $\omega\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right) = u_\omega^*\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right)$ where $u_\omega^*\left(x^{(i_1)}, \ldots, x^{(i_n)}\right)$

we achieve from the word $u_\omega\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)$ when we change the all operations from Ω by corresponding operation from Ω^*. So for every $H\in\Theta$ and every $h^{(i_k)}\in H^{(i_k)}$, $1\leq k\leq n$, $\omega_H\left(h^{(i_1)},\dots,h^{(i_n)}\right)=\alpha\omega\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)=\alpha u_\omega^*\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)$, where $\alpha:A_\omega\ni x^{(i_k)}\to h^{(i_k)}\in H$, $1\leq k\leq n$, is a homomorphism. But also α is a homomorphism from $(A_\omega)_W^*$ to H_W^*. ∎

Corollary 1 *If $H_1,H_2\in\Theta$ and $\mu:(H_1)_W^*\to(H_2)_W^*$ is a homomorphism then $\mu:H_1\to H_2$ is also a homomorphism.*

Corollary 2 *For every $A,B\in\mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu\in\mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A,B)$ the mappings $s_B\mu s_A^{-1},s_B^{-1}\mu s_A:A\to B$ are also homomorphisms.*

Proposition 3.5 *If $H\in\Theta$ then $H_W^*\in\Theta$.*

Proof. There is $F\in\mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ such that exists epimorphism $\varphi:F\to H$. φ is also an epimorphism from F_W^* to H_W^*. Therefore φs_F is also an epimorphism from F to H_W^*. ∎

If we have a system of words W which fulfills the conditions Op1) and Op2) then by Proposition 3.5 the bijections $\left\{s_F:F\to F\mid F\in\mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$ which are subjects of the condition Op2) uniquely defined by the system of words W. This system of bijections we denote by S^W.

Proposition 3.6 *The mapping $W\to S^W$ is one to one and onto correspondence between the family of the all systems of words which fulfill the conditions Op1) and Op2) and the family of the all systems of bijections $S=\left\{s_F:F\to F\mid F\in\mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$ which fulfills conditions B1) - B3).*

Proof. We consider a system of words W which fulfills the conditions Op1) and Op2). By condition Op2) for every $F(X)\in\mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ the $s_F\mid_X=id_X$ holds and all the bijections $s_F:F\to F$ conform with the sorting of the algebras F. So the system of bijections S^W fulfills the conditions B1) and B2). By Corollary 2 from the Proposition 3.4 the system of bijections S^W fulfills the condition B2).

If we have the systems of bijections S which fulfills conditions B1) - B3) we can define the system of words $W=\{w_\omega\in A_\omega\mid\omega\in\Omega\}$ by formula (3.1). This system of words fulfills the condition Op1) and by Proposition 3.3 - the condition Op2). The $S^W=S$ holds, so our correspondence is onto.

We assume that we have two systems of words $W=\left\{w_\omega^W\in A_\omega\mid\omega\in\Omega\right\}$ and $V=\left\{w_\omega^V\in A_\omega\mid\omega\in\Omega\right\}$ which fulfill the conditions Op1) and Op2) and $S^W=S=S^V$. We take $\omega\in\Omega$ such that $\tau_\omega=(i_1,\dots,i_n;j)$, $i_1,\dots,i_n,j\in\Gamma$, $A_\omega=F(X_\omega)$ as above and $x^{(i_k)}$ such that $\eta_{A_\omega}\left(x^{(i_k)}\right)=i_k$, $1\leq k\leq n$.. By condition Op2) $s_{A_\omega}^W\left(\omega\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)\right)=w_\omega^W\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)=s_{A_\omega}^V\left(\omega\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)\right)=w_\omega^V\left(x^{(i_1)},\dots,x^{(i_n)}\right)$. Therefore $W=V$ and our correspondence is one to one. ∎

From Propositions 3.2 and 3.6 we conclude the

Theorem 3.1 *There is one to one and onto correspondence $\Phi\to W^\Phi$ between the family of the all strongly stable automorphisms of the category Θ^0 and the* *family of the all systems of words which fulfill the conditions Op1) and Op2). The systems of words $W^{\Phi} = W$ defined by formula (3.1) where bijections $s_{A_{\omega}}$ are subjects of the items A2) - A4) of the Definition 2.2.*

3.3 Strongly stable automorphisms and inner automorphisms.

Proposition 3.7 *The strongly stable automorphism Φ which corresponds to the system of words $W^{\Phi} = W$ is inner if and only if there is a system of isomorphisms $\left\{\tau_F : F \to F_W^* \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$ such that for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A, B)$ the*

$$\tau_B \mu = \mu \tau_A \tag{3.2}$$

holds.

Proof. If automorphism Φ is inner then by Definition 2.1 there is a system of isomorphisms $\left\{\sigma_F : F \to F \mid F \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0\right\}$ such that for every $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}\Theta^0$ and every $\mu \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\Theta^0}(A, B)$ the $\Phi(\mu) = \sigma_B \mu \sigma_A^{-1} = s_B \mu s_A^{-1}$ holds, where bijections s_A, s_B are subjects of the items A2) - A4) of the Definition 2.2. So the $\mu \sigma_A^{-1} s_A = \sigma_B^{-1} s_B \mu$ holds. By Proposition 3.3 $\tau_A = \sigma_A^{-1} s_A : A \to A_W^*$ is an isomorphism.

Now we assume that Φ is a strongly stable automorphism and (3.2) holds. s_A, s_B are subjects of the items A2) - A4) of the Definition 2.2. From (3.2) we conclude $\Phi(\mu) = s_B \mu s_A^{-1} = s_B \tau_B^{-1} \mu \tau_A s_A^{-1}$. By Proposition 3.3 $\tau_A s_A^{-1} : A_W^* \to A_W^*$ is an isomorphism. So, by Corollary 1 from Proposition 3.4 $\tau_A s_A^{-1} : A \to A$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\sigma_A = \left(\tau_A s_A^{-1}\right)^{-1} : A \to A$ is an isomorphism and $\Phi(\mu) = \sigma_B \mu \sigma_A^{-1}$. ■

References

[1] B. Plotkin, G. Zhitomirski *On automorphisms of categories of free algebras of some varieties,* Journal of Algebra, **306:2**, (2006), 344 – 367.